

NETWORK VIDEO METHOD

CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

This application claims priority from provisional application Serial No. 60/214,457, filed 06/30/00.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

The invention relates to electronic devices, and more particularly to video coding, transmission, and decoding/synthesis methods and circuitry.

The performance of real-time digital video systems using network transmission, such as the mobile video conferencing, has become increasingly important with current and foreseeable digital communications. Both dedicated channel and packetized-over-network transmissions benefit from compression of video signals. The widely-used motion compensation compression of video of H.263 and MPEG uses I-frames (intra frames) which are separately coded and P-frames (predicted frames) which are coded as motion vectors for macroblocks of a prior frame plus the residual difference between the motion-vector-predicted macroblocks and the actual.

Real-time video transmission over the Internet is usually done using the Real-time Transport Protocol (RTP). RTP sits on top of the User Datagram Protocol (UDP). The UDP is an unreliable protocol which does not guarantee the delivery of all the transmitted packets. Packet loss has an adverse impact on the quality of the video reconstructed at the receiver. Hence, error resilience techniques have to be adopted to mitigate the effect of packet losses. A common heuristic technique used is the frequent periodic transmission of I-frames in order to stop the propagation of errors by P-frames. That is, the motion compensation is adjusted to increase the number of I-frames and correspondingly decrease the number of P-frames.

However, this reduces the transmission rate because I-frame encoding requires many more bits than P-frame encoding.

	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034	2035	2036	2037	2038	2039	2040	2041	2042	2043	2044	2045	2046	2047	2048	2049	2050	2051	2052	2053	2054	2055	2056	2057	2058	2059	2060	2061	2062	2063	2064	2065	2066	2067	2068	2069	2070	2071	2072	2073	2074	2075	2076	2077	2078	2079	2080	2081	2082	2083	2084	2085	2086	2087	2088	2089	2090	2091	2092	2093	2094	2095	2096	2097	2098	2099	2100	2101	2102	2103	2104	2105	2106	2107	2108	2109	2110	2111	2112	2113	2114	2115	2116	2117	2118	2119	2120	2121	2122	2123	2124	2125	2126	2127	2128	2129	2130	2131	2132	2133	2134	2135	2136	2137	2138	2139	2140	2141	2142	2143	2144	2145	2146	2147	2148	2149	2150	2151	2152	2153	2154	2155	2156	2157	2158	2159	2160	2161	2162	2163	2164	2165	2166	2167	2168	2169	2170	2171	2172	2173	2174	2175	2176	2177	2178	2179	2180	2181	2182	2183	2184	2185	2186	2187	2188	2189	2190	2191	2192	2193	2194	2195	2196	2197	2198	2199	2200	2201	2202	2203	2204	2205	2206	2207	2208	2209	2210	2211	2212	2213	2214	2215	2216	2217	2218	2219	2220	2221	2222	2223	2224	2225	2226	2227	2228	2229	2230	2231	2232	2233	2234	2235	2236	2237	2238	2239	2240	2241	2242	2243	2244	2245	2246	2247	2248	2249	2250	2251	2252	2253	2254	2255	2256	2257	2258	2259	2260	2261	2262	2263	2264	2265	2266	2267	2268	2269	2270	2271	2272	2273	2274	2275	2276	2277	2278	2279	2280	2281	2282	2283	2284	2285	2286	2287	2288	2289	2290	2291	2292	2293	2294	2295	2296	2297	2298	2299	2300	2301	2302	2303	2304	2305	2306	2307	2308	2309	2310	2311	2312	2313	2314	2315	2316	2317	2318	2319	2320	2321	2322	2323	2324	2325	2326	2327	2328	2329	2330	2331	2332	2333	2334	2335	2336	2337	2338	2339	2340	2341	2342	2343	2344	2345	2346	2347	2348	2349	2350	2351	2352	2353	2354	2355	2356	2357	2358	2359	2360	2361	2362	2363	2364	2365	2366	2367	2368	2369	2370	2371	2372	2373	2374	2375	2376	2377	2378	2379	2380	2381	2382	2383	2384	2385	2386	2387	2388	2389	2390	2391	2392	2393	2394	2395	2396	2397	2398	2399	2400	2401	2402	2403	2404	2405	2406	2407	2408	2409	2410	2411	2412	2413	2414	2415	2416	2417	2418	2419	2420	2421	2422	2423	2424	2425	2426	2427	2428	2429	2430	2431	2432	2433	2434	2435	2436	2437	2438	2439	2440	2441	2442	2
--	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------	---

This has advantages including improved performance.

Figure 1 illustrates a preferred embodiment Markov chain model.

Figure 2 is a functional block diagram of a preferred embodiment encoder.

Figures 3a-3d and 4a-4d show experimental results.

Figure 5 illustrates a system.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

1. Overview

Preferred embodiment encoders and methods for motion compensated video transmission over a packetized network are illustrated generally in functional block form in Figure 2. The preferred embodiments apply a Markov chain model (illustrated in Figure 1) to control motion compensation compression by determining the rate of I-frames: a lower I-frame rate allows for repeated transmissions of P-frames as a forward error correction (FEC) method. This contrasts with the approach of increasing the I-frame rate and not repeating P-frames. In particular, the preferred embodiments maximize the probability of error-free reconstruction of frames as a function of the rate of I-frame transmission; a lower I-frame transmission rate allows for repeated transmissions of P-frames and thus increased probability of error free reception of P-frames.

2. First preferred embodiments

Figure 1 shows a Markov model for a first preferred embodiment system having two states: S_0 the state when the current video frame reconstruction has no errors and S_1 the state when the current video frame reconstruction has at least one error. The probabilities are as follows: q_0 is the probability a transmitted frame is an I-frame and $q_1 = 1 - q_0$ is the probability a transmitted frame is a P-frame; B-frames are ignored for this analysis. The probability a transmitted I-frame is lost is p_{e0} and the probability a transmitted P-frame is lost is p_{e1} . Thus Figure 1 shows remaining in state S_0 with probability $q_0(1 - p_{e0}) + q_1(1 - p_{e1})$ which simply is the probability that an I-frame was transmitted and not lost plus the probability that a P-frame was transmitted and not lost. Similarly, the system remains in state S_1 with probability $1 - q_0(1 - p_{e0})$ which simply states that the only way to avoid a reconstruction error for a frame following an erroneous reconstructed frame is to receive (not lost) a transmitted I-frame because errors propagate in P-frames. Thus $q_0(1 - p_{e0})$ also is the probability for transition from state S_1 to state S_0 . Conversely, the probability of transition from

state S_0 to state S_1 is just the probability of losing the next frame which is simply $q_0p_{e0} + q_1p_{e1}$; that is, 1 minus the probability of remaining in state S_0 . Thus the overall probability of being in state S_0 is $q_0(1-p_{e0})/(q_0 + q_1p_{e1})$ which is just the probability of an S_1 to S_0 transition divided by the sum of the probabilities of a state transition. Note that q_0 is equal to the reciprocal of the period (in frames) between I-frames; that is, if every n th frame is an I-frame, then the probability of a transmitted I-frame is $1/n$.

Each transmitted packet over the Internet consists of compressed video data, an RTP header, and a UDP/IP header. Let v denote the number of bits in a packet header. For RTP/UDP/IP-based systems, $v = 320$. Because of this huge packet overhead, it is better to transmit as many source bits as possible in a single packet. The total size of the packet is limited by the maximum transmission unit (MTU) of the packet network. For Ethernet, the MTU is about 1500 bytes. Current Internet video applications use relatively low bitrates; and at low bitrates multiple P-frames can be fit into a single packet. A problem with transmitting multiple P-frames in a single packet is that the effect of packet loss becomes very severe because loss of a single packet leads to the loss of multiple P-frames. Hence, only one P-frame is transmitted in a packet. With an MTU of 1500 bytes, I-frames, however, do not fit into a single packet and have to be split across multiple packets. For ease of description, let:

l_0 denote the average size of an I-frame expressed in bits.

l_1 denote the average size of a P-frame in bits.

n_1 denote the number of packets required for a single I-frame.

k_0 denote the total number of bits (compressed bitstream plus header bits) used to transmit an I-frame, so $k_0 = l_0 + n_1v$ where v is the packet header size in bits.

k_1 denote the total number of bits used to transmit a P-frame.

R_T denote the maximum transmission bit rate allowed.

q_{r1} denote the number of times each P-frame is retransmitted.

Presume a constant frame rate of f frames per second. Then the bit rate of the source, R_S , can be expressed as $R_S = q_0fk_0 + q_1fk_1$ and the forward error

correction bit rate, R_F , which adds q_{f1} retransmissions of each P-frame, is $R_F = q_1 q_{f1} f k_1$ with q_{f1} nonnegative. Thus the total transmission rate, R , is $R = R_S + R_F = q_0 f k_0 + q_1 f k_1 + q_1 q_{f1} f k_1$.

Let p_e be the packet loss rate (assumed to be random) encountered on the Internet. Because only P-frames are retransmitted, the probability of loss of an I-frame is given by

$$p_{e0} = 1 - (1 - p_e)^{n_l}$$

This just means that if any of the n_l packets containing a portion of an I-frame is lost, then the entire I-frame is lost. Similarly, the probability of loss of a P-frame is given by

$$p_{e1} = (1 - m_1) p_e^{\lfloor q_{f1} \rfloor + 1} + m_1 p_e^{\lceil q_{f1} \rceil + 1}$$

where $\lfloor q_{f1} \rfloor$ is the largest integer not larger than q_{f1} , $\lceil q_{f1} \rceil$ is the smallest integer not smaller than q_{f1} , and m_1 is the fractional part of q_{f1} , that is, $m_1 = q_{f1} - \lfloor q_{f1} \rfloor$. Heuristically, if q_{f1} were an integer, then the probability of losing all $1 + q_{f1}$ packets containing a P-frame would be the probability of losing the P-frame and so $p_{e1} = p_e^{1+q_{f1}}$. For noninteger q_{f1} the foregoing expression for p_{e1} is just the linear interpolation between integer values bracketing q_{f1} .

The preferred embodiment FEC method then determines the rate of I-frame and repeated P-frame transmissions which maximizes the probability of being in state S_0 ($= q_0(1 - p_{e0}) / (q_0 + q_1 p_{e1})$) given the constraint that $R \leq R_T$. Note that for a given probability of I-frame transmission, q_0 , the value of q_{f1} immediately follows from taking the transmission rate $R = q_0 f k_0 + q_1 f k_1 + q_1 q_{f1} f k_1$ equal to the maximum transmission rate, R_T because f , k_0 , and k_1 are fixed parameters of the system and $q_1 = 1 - q_0$. Further, note that periodic transmission of I-frames implies q_0 is of the form $1/n$ where n is the period in frames between two I-frames and is an integer. Thus just evaluate the constrained probability of being in state S_0 for all reasonable values of n and pick the q_0 which maximizes the probability.

3. Experimental results

Two common test video sequences, "Akiyo" and "Mother and Daughter",

were used to evaluate the foregoing preferred embodiment method using the Markov model. The channel packet loss rate is assumed to be $p_e = 10\%$. Whenever a frame or portion of a frame (in the case of an I-frame) is not received at the receiver, the evaluation simply copied the corresponding picture data from the previous frame. Note that because a large amount of data is lost with each packet loss, many of the more complicated error concealment techniques do not provide improved performance. The evaluation used two metrics: (i) average peak signal to noise ratio (PSNR) and (ii) fraction of frames reconstructed at the receiver that have a PSNR distortion of less than a threshold; the PSNR was obtained by averaging PSNR over 100 runs of transmitting the video bitstreams over a simulated packet loss channel, and the fraction of frames reconstructed for a distortion threshold t is denoted d_t .

The maximum total bitrate, R_T , was taken to be about 50 kb/s; and the quantization parameter was taken to be 8 for compressing the video sequences. For both video sequences, $q_0 = 1/6$ results in a bitrate around 50-55 kb/s at $f = 10$ frames/s; hence, the set of q_0 s used was $q_0 = 1/6, 1/8, \dots, 1/20$. Note that the source bitrate decreases as q_0 decreases. In the range $q_0 = 1/6$ to $1/20$, $q_0 = 1/6$ corresponds to the case of maximum rate of transmission of I-frames. For each of the video sequences, eight bitstreams were generated, one for each value of q_0 . Frame lengths l_0 and l_1 used for the Markov chain analysis were obtained by averaging the I-frame and P-frame lengths, respectively, of the compressed bitstreams; and $n_l = 3$ was used based on the I-frame size and MTU consideration.

For "Akiyo" the following list summarizes the parameters used for the Markov chain model:

- $p_e = 0.1$
- $f = 10$ frames/s
- average size of I-frame, $l_0 = 20,475$ bits
- average size of P-frame, $l_1 = 1,711$ bits,
- $R_T = 52.89$ kb/s
- $n_l = 3$

q_0 in set $1/6, 1/8, \dots, 1/20$

Figure 3a shows the resulting $\Pr(S_0)$, the probability of being in state S_0 , Figure 3b shows the average PSNR for various values of q_0 , and Figure 3c shows the resulting fraction of reconstructed frames with distortion less than threshold, d_t . To obtain Figures 3b and 3c, the P-frame retransmission rate, q_{f1} , derived from the Markov chain analysis was manually tweaked so that the total bitrate (source rate + FEC rate) was very near to the source bitrate (also the total bitrate) for $q_0 = 1/6$. This was done to provide a fair comparison of results. Figure 3d shows the resulting total bitrate. In Figure 3d R_S denotes the source rate, R_F denotes the rate used by the FEC, and R_T denotes the total bitrate.

As can be seen from Figure 3a, the Markov chain model predicts that to obtain improved performance it makes sense to decrease the frequency of I-frames (from $q_0 = 1/6$ to $q_0 = 1/14 \dots 1/20$) and to instead use retransmission of P-frames. Figures 3b and 3c support this claim. There is an improvement in average PSNR in the range of 0.4-0.55 dB and fraction of reconstructed frames which have reconstruction errors less than t , with $t = 0.5, 1.0, 1.5$ dB, goes up by about 0.15-0.2. The d_t curve of Figure 3c implies that there are about 20-25% more "good" frames when retransmission of P-frames is used instead of increasing the frequency of I-frame transmission.

For "Mother and Daughter" the following list summarizes the parameters used for the Markov chain model:

$$p_e = 0.1$$

$$f = 10 \text{ frames/s}$$

$$\text{average size of I-frame, } l_0 = 18,010 \text{ bits}$$

$$\text{average size of P-frame, } l_1 = 2,467 \text{ bits,}$$

$$R_T = 54.84 \text{ kb/s}$$

$$n_l = 3$$

$$q_0 \text{ in set } 1/6, 1/8, \dots, 1/20$$

Figure 4a shows the resulting $\Pr(S_0)$, Figure 4b shows the average PSNR for various values of q_0 , and Figure 4c shows the resulting d_t . To obtain Figures 4b and 4c, the P-frame retransmission rate, q_{f1} , derived from the Markov chain

analysis again was manually tweaked so that the total bitrate was very near to the source bitrate (also the total bitrate) for $q_0 = 1/6$. This was done to provide a fair comparison of results. Figure 4d shows the resulting total bitrate. In Figure 4d R_S denotes the source rate, R_F denotes the rate used by the FEC, and R_T denotes the total bitrate.

The Markov chain analysis in this case predicts that a gain in performance cannot be achieved by decreasing the frequency of I-frames; see Figure 4a. The PSNR and the d_t curves of Figure 4b and 4c support this claim. The PSNR and the d_t curves remain more or less flat. Note that the PSNR and the d_t curves do not move down like the $\Pr(S_0)$ curve of Figure 4a. This can be attributed to the fact that the Markov chain model is a very simplistic model and is not based on the PSNR metric. More complex models can be thought of for modeling the PSNR performance, but they become complicated because of the use of motion compensation in the decoder.

4. System preferred embodiments

Figure 5 shows in functional block form a portion of a preferred embodiment system which uses a preferred embodiment motion-compensated video transmission method. Such systems include video phone communication over the Internet with wireless links at the ends and voice packets interspersed with the video packets; a two-way communication version would have the structure of Figure 5 for both directions. In preferred embodiment communication systems users (transmitters and/or receivers) hardware could include one or more digital signal processors (DSP's) and/or other programmable devices such as RISC processors with stored programs for performance of the signal processing of a preferred embodiment method. Alternatively, specialized circuitry (ASIC's) could be used with (partially) hardwired preferred embodiment methods. Users may also contain analog and/or mixed-signal integrated circuits for amplification or filtering of inputs to or outputs from a communications channel and for conversion between analog and digital. Such analog and digital circuits may be integrated on a single die. The stored programs, including codebooks,

may, for example, be in ROM or flash EEPROM or FeRAM which is integrated with the processor or external to the processor. Antennas may be parts of receivers with multiple finger RAKE detectors for air interface to networks such as the Internet. Exemplary DSP cores could be in the TMS320C6xxx and TMS320C5xxx families from Texas Instruments.

5. Modifications

The preferred embodiments may be modified in various ways while retaining one or more of the features of optimization of I-frame rate in view of repeated P-frame transmission possibilities.

For example, the predictively-coded frames could include B-frames; the frame playout could include a large buffer and delay to allow from some automatic repeat request for I-frame packets to supersede some repeat P-frame packets; the network protocols could differ.

Indeed, one can introduce the concept of using multiple servers to serve the same video receiving client. For example, presume the use of two video servers to serve the same client. This situation has two network channels feeding into the video client. Use one channel to transmit the I-frame and P-frame (without repetition) and then use the other channel to transmit the FEC P-frames. Note that the rate of video received at the client is the same as when a single server is used. Use of two channels improves the performance, because the probability of both the channels deteriorating at the same time decreases.